

Computer Engineering

Computer Engineering Advanced Algorithms

1. Explain the difference between PTAS and FPTAS, and give one example of a problem for which a FPTAS is known, and one example of a problem for which a PTAS is known but no FPTAS. [4 marks]
2. We consider an extension of the MAX-3-CNF problem, called MAX-4-CNF problem, where we are given a 4-CNF formula with m clauses, e.g., $(x_1 \vee \overline{x_3} \vee x_4 \vee x_5) \wedge (\overline{x_1} \vee \overline{x_2} \vee x_3 \vee \overline{x_5}) \wedge \dots$, and the goal is to find an assignment of the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n that satisfies as many clauses as possible.

(i) Design a randomized approximation algorithm and analyse its approximation ratio. (For full marks, the approximation ratio must be smaller than $10/9$.)

[4 marks]

(ii) Express the MAX-4-CNF problem as an integer program. [4 marks]

(iii) Based on the construction from Part (b)(ii) or otherwise, describe an algorithm that performs randomized rounding on the solution of a linear relaxation.

[3marks]

(iv) Analyze the expected approximation ratio of the algorithm from Part (b)(iii).

Hint: You may want to use the following two inequalities. Firstly, for any non-negative numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k , we have

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i \right)^{1/k} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i}{k}.$$

Secondly, for any integer $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq a \leq 1$,

$$1 - \left(1 - \frac{a}{k}\right)^k \geq \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^k\right) \cdot a.$$

[5 marks]

3. Into what three cases can a linear program in standard form be classified? [3 marks]
4. Consider the (unweighted) vertex cover problem for the graph $G = (V, E)$ with $V = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $E = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 3\}\}$.

(i) Write down the linear program relaxation for the vertex cover problem and solve the linear program. [6 marks]

(ii) Based on the solution of the linear program in (b)(i), derive an integer solution using the rounding approach described in the lecture. [2 marks]

5. Consider the following randomized algorithm for the unweighted vertex cover problem:

```
Initialize S to be the empty set
For all edges e=(u,v) do
    If neither u nor v belongs to S
        Randomly choose u or v with probability 1/2
        and add the vertex to S
    End If
End For
Return S
```

Derive an upper bound, as tight as possible, on the approximation ratio of the algorithm.

Hint: Try to find an invariant that bounds from below the size of the intersection of the current solution $S = S(i)$ with the optimum solution, where $S(i)$ denotes the set S after the i -th iteration of the FOR loop. [9 marks]

6. Give two examples of greedy algorithms and state their approximation ratios. [4 marks]

7. Consider the Centre Selection Problem, defined as follows. The input consists of n points p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n in a metric space, and an integer $k > 0$. The goal is to find k centers $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ (not necessarily from among the n points) so that $r(C) = \max_{i < n} \text{dist}(p_i, C)$, where $\text{dist}(p, C) = \min_{c \in C} \text{dist}(p, c)$, is minimized.

(i) Consider the standard greedy approach: solve the problem optimally for $k = 1$ and then extend the solution to larger values of k by adding the optimal point to the current solution. Why is this likely to give a poor result? [4 marks]

(ii) Consider the following algorithm to solve the Centre Selection Problem:

```
Let C be the empty set
Repeat k times
    Select a point p_i with maximum distance dist(p_i, C)
    Add point p_i to the set C
Return C
```

Derive a lower bound for this algorithm on the minimum pairwise distance among the chosen centers C . [4 marks]

(iii) Give an upper bound, as tight as possible, on the approximation ratio of the algorithm in part (b)(ii). [2 marks]

(iv) Give a detailed analysis in order to justify your answer for part (b)(iii).

Hint: Exploit the lower bound derived in part (b)(ii) in order to construct disjoint balls around the center points. [6 marks]

8. Given an algorithm for the SET-COVER problem as a black box, how could you use this to solve the unweighted VERTEX-COVER problem? [4 marks]

9. Following the approach in Part (a), which approximation ratio for the VERTEX COVER problem do you achieve by applying the greedy algorithm for the SET-COVER problem? What happens if every vertex in the graph has at most 4 neighbors? [6 marks]

10. Consider the following greedy algorithm for the unweighted VERTEX-COVER problem:

Compute a directed Depth-First-Search tree (DFS-tree) from every connected component in the graph, and output all nodes which are not leaves in the DFS-tree (a vertex is a leaf if it has no outgoing edges in the DFS-tree).

(i) What is the running time of this algorithm? [2 marks]

(ii) Why is the returned solution a valid vertex cover? [4 marks]

(iii) Derive a bound, as good as possible, on the approximation ratio of this algorithm.

Hint: You may use the fact that in any undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, $\sum_{u \in V} \deg(u) = 2|E|$, where $\deg(u)$ denotes the number of neighbors of u . [4 marks]

11. What are the three possible cases for the solution of a linear program? For each of them, give an example of a linear program in standard form exhibiting this case.

[6 marks]

12. What is the set of optimal solutions for the following linear program?

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & -x_1 - x_2 \\ & -x_2 \geq -3 \\ & 2x_1 + x_2 < 8 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

[6 marks]

13. For a given linear program LP_1

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq m) \\ & x_j \geq 0 \quad (1 \leq j \leq n), \end{aligned}$$

consider a new linear program LP_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i \\ & \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \geq c_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n) \\ & y_i \geq 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq m). \end{aligned}$$

14. Prove that if x is a feasible solution for LP_1 and y is a feasible solution for

$$LP_2, \text{ then } c^T x \leq b^T y \text{ cT.} \quad [6 \text{ marks}]$$

15. Using your answer in Part (c)(i), what can we conclude about LP_2 if we know that LP_1 is unbounded? [2 marks]

16. For each of the following claims, state whether it is true or not and give a brief justification.

(i) For any linear program with n variables and m constraints, there are at most

$$\binom{n+m}{m} \text{ different basic solutions.} \quad [2 \text{ marks}]$$

(ii) The Simplex Algorithm has a worst-case polynomial runtime. [2 marks]

(iii) In each iteration of the Simplex Algorithm, the value of the objective function changes. [2 marks]

(iv) The auxiliary linear program in Initialize-Simplex always has a feasible solution. [2 marks]

(v) The fundamental theorem of linear programming also holds if linear constraints are allowed to be strict. [2 marks]

(vi) The set of feasible solutions of any linear program forms a convex set. [2 marks]

17. For the following linear program, write down the auxiliary linear program used by Initialize-Simplex in slack form: [3 marks]

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{minimize} & -4x_1 + x_2 \\
\text{subject to} & -4x_1 + 2x_2 \geq -4 \\
& x_1 - 6x_2 \leq -3
\end{array}$$

18. Recall the algorithm for the unweighted vertex cover problem that is based on rounding the solution of a linear program.

- (i) What is the approximation ratio of this algorithm? [1 mark]
- (ii) Give an example of a graph and the corresponding linear program for which the gap between the linear program solution and optimal solution is as large as possible. [4 marks]

19. Consider the definition of an approximation algorithm.

- (i) Explain the meaning of approximation ratio in the case of a maximization problem. [2 marks]
- (ii) How is this definition adjusted to the case of a randomized approximation algorithm? [2 marks]

20. State the definition of PTAS and FPTAS. [4 marks]

21. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph. For any $k > 1$, define $G^{(k)}$ to be the undirected graph $(V^{(k)}, E^{(k)})$, where $V^{(k)}$ is the set of all ordered k -tuples of vertices from V and $E^{(k)}$ is defined so that (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) is adjacent to (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k) if and only if $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ forms a clique.

- a. Argue that the graph $G^{(k)}$ can be constructed in time polynomial in n (for any fixed value of k). [3 marks]
- b. Prove that the size of the maximum clique in $G^{(k)}$ is equal to the k -th power of the size of the maximum clique in G . [5 marks]
- c. Argue that if there is a polynomial-time approximation algorithm that has a constant approximation ratio for finding a maximum clique, then there is a polynomial-time approximation scheme (PTAS) for the problem.
Hint: Your PTAS should be based on applying the given approximation algorithm with constant approximation ratio to $G^{(k)}$ for a proper choice of $k > 0$. Then use the equivalence in part (ii) to analyse its approximation ratio.

[4 marks]

22. State the fundamental theorem of Linear Programming. [3 marks]

23. Consider the following linear program:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimise} \quad & 4 \cdot x_1 - x_2 \\ & -x_1 + 5x_2 \geq 4 \\ & x_1 - 0.5x_2 \leq 1 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Convert this linear program into slack form. [3 marks]
- What is the number of different slack forms of the linear program in Part (b)(i)? [2 marks]
- Give at least one non-feasible and one feasible basic solution of the linear program in (b)(i). [4 marks]

24. Consider the following separation problem. We are given m points $x^1 = (x_1^1, x_2^1), x^2 = (x_1^2, x_2^2), \dots, x^m = (x_1^m, x_2^m) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and n points $y^1 = (y_1^1, y_2^1), y^2 = (y_1^2, y_2^2), \dots, y^n = (y_1^n, y_2^n) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The goal is to find a “separating” vector $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (if it exists) such that:

$$\langle x^i, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^2 x_j^i w_j > 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

and

$$\langle y^i, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^2 y_j^i w_j < 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

- Create a new, equivalent system of inequalities by replacing each strict inequality by a suitable non-strict inequality. Justify why this new system has a solution if and only if the original system has one. [4 marks]
 - Based on your answer in Part (c)(i), how can you solve the above problem using linear programming? [4 marks]
25. What is the approximation ratio of an approximation algorithm? [2 marks]
26. State the definitions of PTAS and FPTAS. [4 marks]
27. Consider the two approximation algorithms for VERTEX-COVER from the lectures (one greedy algorithm and one based on rounding a linear program).
- What are the approximation ratios of these two algorithms? [2 marks]
 - Construct an input graph that demonstrates the tightness of the approximation ratio of the greedy algorithm (for full marks, your construction should work for

any even number of vertices n).

[3 marks]

28. Consider the following randomized algorithm to compute a solution of the VERTEX-COVER problem for an unweighted graph $G = (V, E)$:

```
Let C be the empty set
While E not empty do
    Pick any edge  $e = \{u, v\}$  from E
    Choose  $x$  from  $\{u, v\}$  uniformly at random
    Add  $x$  to C
    Remove all edges incident to  $x$  from E
End While
Return C
```

(i) Explain briefly why the set C returned is a valid vertex cover. [2 marks]

(ii) Find a lower bound on the probability that the algorithms return an optimal solution.

Hint: For each edge $e = \{u, v\}$ picked by the algorithm consider the event that the chosen vertex $x \in \{u, v\}$ added to C is also part of an optimal cover.

[4 marks]

(iii) Given a lower bound $p \in (0, 1)$ on the probability that this algorithm returns an optimal solution, describe a new algorithm that returns an optimal solution with probability at least $5p$, for any given $p \in [0, 1)$. [3 marks]

29. Suppose you have a randomized approximation algorithm for a maximization problem such that, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any problem instance of size n , the algorithm returns a solution with cost C such that

$$\Pr[C > (1 - \epsilon) \cdot C^*] > 1/n \cdot \exp(-1/\epsilon),$$

where C^* is the cost of the optimal solution. Can you use your algorithm to obtain a PTAS or FPTAS? Justify your answer. [6 marks]

30. We consider the following optimization problem. Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with non-negative edge weights $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, we are looking for an assignment of vertex weights $x: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that: (i) for every edge $\{u, v\} \in E$, $x(u) + x(v) > w(\{u, v\})$, (ii) $\sum_{v \in V} x(v)$ is as small as possible.

(i) Design a 2-approximation algorithm for this problem. Also analyse the running time and prove the upper bound on the approximation ratio. Note: For full marks, your algorithm should run in at most $O(E^2)$ time. Hint: One way to solve this question is to follow the approach used by the greedy approximation algorithm for the VERTEX-COVER problem. [8 marks]

(ii) Can this problem be solved exactly in polynomial-time? Either describe the algorithm (including a justification of its correctness and why it is polynomial time) or prove that the problem is hard via a suitable reduction. [6 marks]

31. Assume you have a randomized approximation algorithm for a maximization problem, and your algorithm achieves an approximation ratio of 2. What can you deduce for $E[C^*/C]$,

where C^* is the cost of the optimal solution, C is the cost of the solution of the approximation algorithm, and $E[.]$ denotes the expectation? [4 marks]

32. Consider the following optimization problem on graphs: Given an undirected, edge-weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ with $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, we want to find a subset $S \subseteq V$ such that $w(S, V \setminus S) = \sum_{e \in E(S, V \setminus S)} w(e)$ (the total sum of weights over all edges between S and $V \setminus S$) is maximized.

(i) Design a polynomial-time approximation algorithm for this problem. Also analyse its running time and prove an upper bound on the approximation ratio.

[8 marks]

(ii) Find a graph which matches your upper bound on the approximation ratio from Part (b)(i) as closely as possible. [4 marks]

(iii) Consider now the following generalization of the problem. Given an integer $k > 2$, we want to partition V into disjoint subsets S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k so that we maximize.

$$\sum_{i=1}^k w(S_i, V \setminus S_i).$$

Describe an extension of your algorithm in Part (b)(i). What approximation ratio can you prove for this algorithm? [4 marks]